

Remarks

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application. Claims 1-8 were rejected and claims 9-20 were allowed. By this Amendment, claim 1 has been amended. Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested. No new matter has been added.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-8 were rejected under § 112, second paragraph. Applicant has amended claim 1 to clarify antecedent basis for the actuator, which cures the rejection of claims 1-7. The release cable recited in claim 8 is different than the first and second cables recited in claim 1. More specifically, the release cable is part of a manual window release system as discussed in paragraph beginning on page 8 at line 4 (see also reference number 82 in Figure 1). Applicant hopes this clarification addresses the Examiner's question regarding claim 8, thereby placing the application in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

Applicants have made a genuine effort to respond to the Examiner's objections and rejections in advancing the prosecution of this case. Applicants believe all formal and substantive requirements for patentability have been met and that this case is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested. Please charge any fees or credit any overpayments as a result of the filing of this paper to our Deposit Account No. 02-3978.

Respectfully submitted,

RICHARD LUBAWAY

By /Matthew M. Mietzel/
Matthew M. Mietzel
Reg. No. 46,929
Attorney for Applicant

Date: December 18, 2009

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor
Southfield, MI 48075-1238
Phone: 248-358-4400
Fax: 248-358-3351